

DEFENDING NONCITIZENS CHARGED WITH DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (DUI)¹

STEP ONE: IDENTIFY IMMIGRATION STATUS & DEFENSE GOALS

Status	Goals
 Undocumented Person (UP): Entered without inspection; never had status. Came lawfully with temporary visa (e.g. student or tourist) that has since expired. Identify how long they have been in the U.S., if any LPR or USC family, and prior deportations or ICE contact. 	 Avoid jail. UPs in jail for even a day risk exposure to ICE by (illegal²) jail communication, and risk ICE custody & removal proceedings. Preserve paths to legal status (relief). UPs may have paths to lawful status.³ Convictions and even conduct can bar such relief. Asylum-seekers must avoid conviction for "particularly serious crimes"
 Currently admitted in lawful status: Lawful Permanent Residents (LPR or green card holders); Asylees and Refugees; COFA residents (from a Pacific Island Compact nation) Identify how long person has had lawful status. 	 Avoid triggering deportation grounds. Avoid triggering inadmissibility. Preserve paths to LPR and relief from deportation. There are waivers for some crimes, for LPRs with 7 years residence, and refugees/asylees seeking LPR status.⁴ Preserve eligibility for naturalization. (LPRs cannot get US citizenship while on probation, and certain crimes bar "good moral character")
Visa Holders (e.g. business, student, temporary employment or tourist visas):	 If current, goals = LPRs & refugees. If expired, goals = UPs. See above
DACA recipients: Felony, 3 rd misd., or 1 "significant Temporary Protected Status (TPS) holders: Any	

Temporary Protected Status (TPS) holders: Any second misdemeanor is a bar.

Non-citizen US Nationals (American Samoa): Not "aliens," not deportable; need GMC for citizenship.

STEP TWO: DEFENSE STRATEGIES FOR DUI CHARGES

Immigration Consequences of DUI

❖ A plain alcohol DUI with no other elements should not trigger any statutory, criminal conviction-based ground of inadmissibility or deportability under current laws (a DUI should not be a "crime involving moral turpitude" (CIMT) or aggravated felony). This assumes it does not trigger the mandatory "child passenger" provision and the DUI is not drug- or THC-related, which we address separately below.

¹ This advisory is intended to serve as a quick-reference guide for defenders representing noncitizen clients. Defenders are advised to consult with WDA's Immigration Project on individual cases by completing an intake form online at: http://www.defensenet.org/immigration-project/case-assistance. When submitting an intake, *obtaining a complete criminal history, including sentences, is essential* for us to provide accurate advice. Immigration attorneys or representatives are encouraged to contact us for possible legal arguments to challenge a removal charge or other consequence in an immigration matter. When in doubt, don't concede!

² See RCW 10.93.160

³ See, e.g., WDAIP advisory on "10-year cancellation of removal," the principal form of relief, but there are many others: https://defensenet.org/resource-category/cancellation-of-removal-for-undocumented-persons/

⁴ See our advisory on Cancellation of Removal for Lawful Permanent Residents: https://defensenet.org/resource-category/cancellation-of-removal-for-lawful-permanent-residents/

- ❖ Two or more DUI convictions now⁵ create a presumption of lack of "good moral character."
- ❖ Even single DUIs are disfavored for discretionary purposes, and can be especially harmful to an immigrant visa application made through a US consulate, or for a person seeking release on bond from immigration custody. For those reasons, it is always best for an undocumented person to avoid a DUI.

Crime Relating to a Controlled Substance & "Drug DUIs":

- Conviction of a law "relating to a controlled substance" (CS) triggers deportation & inadmissibility for all non-citizens.
- ❖ Marijuana *is* a federally Controlled Substance. Thus, a DUI under the influence of marijuana risks triggering the CS deportation and inadmissibility grounds.⁶
- ❖ DUI for being under the influence of a "drug" that is *not* a scheduled CS *cannot* trigger the CS ground.

Per se bar for people with certain status:

- ❖ A DUI conviction is a *per se* bar to DACA, ⁷ the program which grants temporary work authorization and temporary status to certain UPs who arrived under age 16.
- ❖ Any second misdemeanor or any felony in the U.S. is a bar to TPS.⁸

Health Grounds Of Inadmissibility and "Prudential" Visa Revocation

- * "Recent or multiple" DUI arrests and/or convictions can indicate a mental disorder (alcohol use disorder) with harmful behavior (drunk-driving), which can trigger inadmissibility under a health-related ground as a Class A medical condition.
- This can be a concern for non-immigrant visa holders who face "prudential" revocation¹⁰ of visas by the issuing consulate, or when a UP is applying for LPR status through family at a consulate¹¹ or inside the U.S. ¹² Medical re-exam can cause delays, and consular decisions are unreviewable.

Immigration Consequences of Passenger under 16 (RCW 46.61.5055(6))

May be charged as a **CIMT** or a deportable **crime of child abuse** (COCA). Note: If your client is not formally being charged with the child passenger enhancement under RCW 46.61.5055(6), and it is just a fact alleged in the police report and used by the judge as a discretionary sentence factor under 46.61.5055(7), we do not believe this could lead to the same removal grounds.

Revised July 2020 2

⁵ See new U.S. Attorney General decision in *Matter of Castillo-Perez*, 27 I&N Dec. 664 (A.G. 2019).

⁶ Caveat for immigration counsel: There is a strong argument that this statute is overbroad and not divisible.

⁷ It will be a *per se* "significant misdemeanor" See DACA Advisory at Immigration Project Resources link at the WDA website.

⁸ TPS grants temporary status and work authorization. TPS is currently granted to citizens of certain countries. The list can be found here: https://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/temporary-protected-status.

⁹ See Foreign Affairs Manual, 9 FAM 302.2-2 and 302.2-7.

¹⁰ See 8 USC 1182(a)(1)(A)(iii) (establishing health-related grounds of inadmissibility) and 9 FAM 403.11 (granting authority to revoke visa based on information of "an arrest or conviction of driving under the influence, driving while intoxicated, or similar arrests/convictions (DUI) that occurred within the previous five years."). ☐ Clients may receive an email or letter cancelling their visa; they should contact immigration counsel if this occurs, as it may be possible to get a new visa.

¹¹ All LPR applications by UPs unable to apply from inside the U.S. are processed at a U.S. Consulate. UPs who must apply at a U.S. Consulate will be referred to a panel physician if they have an "alcohol related" arrest or conviction within the last 5 years at the time of their consular interview; multiple "alcohol related" arrests or convictions with the past 10 years; or "[i]f there is any other evidence to suggest an alcohol problem." A waiver of health inadmissibility is complicated to obtain.

¹² According to DHS, which decides such applications inside the U.S., "a record of criminal arrests and/or convictions for alcohol-related driving incidents may constitute prima facie evidence of health-related inadmissibility." See USCIS Policy Manual, Chapter 7 - Physical or Mental Disorder with Associated Harmful Behavior, at https://www.uscis.gov/policy-manual/volume-8-part-b-chapter-7.

Best Alternatives to Avoid Immigration Consequences

Viability of any alternative depends upon defendant's specific immigration status & criminal history.

For DACA recipient: Negligent Driving 2 (ND2), NVOL, DWLS, or Reckless Driving (RD). Avoid ND1 or Physical Control (PC), which may not be better than a DUI for a DACA recipient.

For other UPs and LPRS: ND2, NVOL and DWLS are ideal as they do not trigger any removal grounds & are safer than DUI, RD & ND. If ND2, NVOL and DWLS are unavailable, seek the following, in order of preference:

- ❖ RD: preferable to DUI because it does not have alcohol as an element. ¹³
- ND1: does not trigger any grounds of deportation or inadmissibility but does have "having consumed" alcohol as an element. We are unaware of a meaningful difference between ND and RD outside of the DACA context.¹⁴
- ❖ Physical Control: does not trigger any grounds of deportation or inadmissibility.

Note: all convictions are a negative discretionary factor in an application for immigration benefits. For that reason, an immigration-safe deferred adjudication¹⁵ will be a better outcome if successfully completed.

If you must plead to DUI

- ❖ Plead to the minimum conduct of the statute only. A plea statement setting forth the elements of the statute provides a sufficient factual basis to make the plea knowing, voluntary & intelligent under WA law.¹6 Elaborating additional specific facts is not required and should be avoided.
- ❖ It is best practice to avoid incorporating the charging documents, police report, or CDPC as the basis for the plea. For this reason, you should generally avoid *Alford* pleas. ¹⁷ This is especially important if the police report contains allegations of gang involvement or drug activity.
- ❖ Deferred Sentences and Deferred Prosecutions are permanent convictions for immigration purposes. Also, the cost of admitting to a substance use disorder or mental problem that led to the DUI (which may trigger mental health-related inadmissibility) should be weighed against any benefits of DP.
- ❖ Do not plead to "passenger under 16" under RCW 46.61.5055(6). Safe if judge uses it only as discretionary sentence factor under 46.61.5055(7).
- ❖ Do not plead to Marijuana or 'any drug'; or, specify an over-the counter or <u>non-CS</u> prescribed drug.

Warning! Advise *all* noncitizen clients (undocumented and LPRs, etc.) not to leave the U.S. or apply for LPR status/citizenship without first consulting an immigration attorney.

Revised July 2020 3

,

¹³ See the WDAIP advisory on RD, available at the Immigration Project Resources link at the WDA website – www.defensenet.org.

¹⁴ We haven't heard of Negligent Driving being treated like a DUI in any other immigration legal context. However, if Congress ever passes new immigration legislation, there is a risk that it would include DUIs (and by default, ND) as a per se bar to any pathway to citizenship.

¹⁵ See our page on immigration-safe deferred adjudication agreements, at https://defensenet.org/resource-category/deferred-adjudication-agreements

¹⁶ In re Pers. Restraint of Thompson, 141 Wash.2d 712, 720-721 (2000) (citing In re Personal Rest. of Hews (Hews II), 108 Wash.2d 579, 589 (1987)). State v. Codiga, 162 Wash.2d 912, 923-924 (2008); State v. Zhao, 157 Wash.2d 188, 200 (2006); In re Pers. Restraint Hews, 108 Wash.2d at 590-591. State v. Schaupp, 111 Wash.2d 34 (1988). See also, RCW. 9.94A.450(1).

¹⁷ If there is a compelling reason for such a plea in your case, please contact us.